

REMARKS


The Office Action has set forth a restriction requirement alleging that claims 1-32 are directed to two distinct inventions. Specifically, the Office Action alleged that claims 1-27 are drawn to a test structure (Group II), and that claims 28-32 (Group I) are drawn to a method of testing voids. In response to this requirement, Applicant hereby elects Group II, claims 1-27, without traverse. To expedite the examination of this application, Applicant has canceled the non-elected claims 28-32, and has added new claims 33-37 (which depend from elected claim 1 or 15).

CONCLUSION

It is believed that all pending claims are in proper condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would expedite the examination of the above-identified patent application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

No fee is believed to be due in connection with this response to restriction requirement. If, however, any fee is believed to be due, you are hereby authorized to charge any such fee to deposit account No. 20-0778.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 
Daniel R. McClure
Registration No. 38,962

Thomas, Kayden, Horstemeyer & Risley, LLP
100 Galleria Pkwy, NW
Suite 1750
Atlanta, GA 30339
770-933-9500